Monitoring needs: Well surveillance and plume stabilization

Susan Hovorka UTCCS-5 Meeting, January, 2020 Austin, Texas



Remaining problems for monitoring

- Extensive previous work (GCCC and global):
 - Plume tracking
 - Above-zone leakage surveillance
 - Groundwater/soil gas assurance monitoring
- What key things are still missing?
- 1) Plugged wells <u>completed & plugged per documentation</u>?
- 2) Long-term plume stabilization *how long to monitor*?



Wells – designed to isolate

Well regulation focus – must document for UIC





Never-the-less wells are the most likely failure point in storage system

- ~ Dozen or so reported CO₂ leakage incidents
- Non-compliance rate ~1/1000/per yr failure (CO₂ frequency seems similar) *
- Well management focus of UIC regulation (including Class VI)
- Industry best practice during CO₂ EOR
 - invest in well prep
 - avoid need to shut in (for repair)
- Numerous mature monitoring approaches open & accessible
- Worst problem: Wells P&A prior to project start (Must rely on records or do high-cost and high-risk repair)







What can be done?

- Find all wells
 - record search
 - historic photos
 - magnetic surveys etc.
- Reenter, repair, and re-plug questionable wells
 - expensive & risky
 - not sure what is down there
- Conduct surveillance of remaining uncertain wells
 - How?
 - When?



Residual magnetic intensity, Cranfield, MS – Note: known wells match magnetic signal well (J. Paine/ Fugro)





Bureau of Economic

Geology

- Excavate many P&A well stubs @ 6 ft.
- Install instrument pack
 - Temperature
 - Conductivity
 - Moisture
 - pH
 - etc.
- Backfill with engineered materials
- Report out via cell
 phone

6

Data Reduction – High-noise/Complex system

Data noisy because of variability & trend in environment

Use data analytics: investigate cyclic trends correlation & inter-well comparison



Time



Conductivity, moisture,

Data reduction Compare Wells, Find Anomalies Early

- Identify anomalous signal
- Field-check wells; determine if problematic



Time



8

Test campaign







- Install test facilities
- <u>Don't need real wells</u>
- Install fluid access to simulate well leakage
- An array to create variability

Ultimate goal

- Low-cost, real-time, automated, easily replicable, long-term surveillance (of a population of P&A wells)
- Flag wells that deviate from trend for risk consideration (possible reentry & repair)
- Value:
 - Avoid blowouts early intervention
 - Gain license to operate & close site
- Cost per installation: depends on value



Project status

• An idea in search of funding



Long-term plume stabilization

- Problem: How long do we monitor? (assurance of planned, acceptable long-term stabilization)
- What metrics indicate reduced risk of lateral migration? (i.e., acceptable levels)



Stabilization Risk is Geometry-Dependent



• Structural closure:

- CO₂ saturation at crest increases over time;
- approaches limit defined by residual water saturation
- Risk = column height? <u>maybe</u>
- Dipping or flat strata:
 - plume migration lateral,
 - accesses new portions of seal

<u>Flaws?</u>





Uncertainties

- Upscaling processes:
 - Low viscosity buoyant flow
 - Trapping in small traps
 - Trapping in bedforms
 - Residual trapping
 - Dissolution



E. Beckham, 2018





P. Krishnamurthy, 2020



S. Bakhshian, 2019

Project status

- Collecting the information (to better understand processes)
- Need viable concept for early monitoring (to confirm correctness of late-stage stabilization)
- Don't wait 100 years to determine if project is OK!

