GULF COAST CARBON CENTER

Analog Studies: Groundwater

Project Description

One concern with geologic carbon sequestration is unintended CO₂ leakage from the storage formations into overlying potable aquifers through faults, fractures, and active or abandoned wells. Such leakage has the potential for impacting underground sources of drinking water (USDW). Safeguarding USDW during geologic sequestration is of fundamental interest to both regulators and stakeholders.



Monitoring a push-pull test at the Brackenridge Field Laboratory

Methodology

We used an integrated approach combining numerical simulations, laboratory experiments, and single-well push-pull tests to assess potential impacts of CO_2 leakage on groundwater quality and to validate groundwater geochemical measurements as indicators of CO_2 leakage.

- Laboratory experiments. Sedimentary and groundwater samples collected from the target aquifer are placed into beakers. Groundwater chemistry is monitored after CO₂ gas is introduced.
- Single-well push-pull testing. Groundwater is pumped from the target aquifer into containers, equilibrated with CO₂, then injected back into the aquifer. The injected groundwater is charged with CO₂, reacted with aquifer sediments, and then pumped back underground so that changes in groundwater chemistry can be studied.
- Numerical simulations. Calibrated with parameters from laboratory and field results, reactive transport models are used to predict and assess potential impacts to USDW overlying geologic storage formations.



Laboratory batch experiments



Schematic of single-well push-pull test

GULF COAST CARBON CENTER

Analog Studies: Groundwater

Monitoring Strategy

A step-wise monitoring strategy for monitoring groundwater at a geologic sequestration site was tested at the Southeast Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership (SECARB) Phase III.

- Step 1: Characterize groundwater chemistry and mineralogy in potable aquifers of concern.
- Step 2: Select preliminary groundwater chemical indicators of CO₂ leakage.
- Step 3: Assess sensitivity of groundwater chemical parameters to CO₂ leakage with laboratory experiments, single-well push-pull tests, and numerical simulations.

Major Findings

- Single-well push-pull tests provide reliable assessment of potential impacts of CO₂ leakage on groundwater quality.
- No significant damage to groundwater quality was found in our laboratory experiments or field tests.

Selected Citations

Mickler, P. J., Yang, C., Scanlon, B. R., Reedy, R., Lu, J., 2013, Potential impacts of CO₂ leakage on groundwater chemistry from laboratory batch experiments and field push–pull tests: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 47, no. 18, p. 10694–10702.

Yang, C., Dai, Z., Romanak, K. D., Hovorka, S. D., Treviño, R. H., 2014, Inverse modeling of water-rock-CO₂ batch experiments: potential impacts on groundwater resources at carbon sequestration sites: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 48, no. 5, p. 2798–2806.

Yang, C., Hovorka, S. D., Young, M. H., Trevino, R., 2013, Geochemical sensitivity to CO₂ leakage: detection in potable aquifers at carbon sequestration sites: Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology, v. 4, no. 3, p. 384–399.

Yang, C., Mickler, P. J., Reedy, R., Scanlon, B. R., Romanak, K. D., Nicot, J.-P., Hovorka, S. D., Trevino, R. H., Larson, T., 2013, Single-well push-pull test for assessing potential impacts of CO₂ leakage on groundwater quality in a shallow Gulf Coast aquifer in Cranfield, Mississippi: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 18, p. 375–387.

Yang, C., Mickler, P., Scanlon, B., 2013, Carbon dioxide injection into shallow sedimentary aquifers to assess potential groundwater degradation at geological carbon sequestration sites: Water Research Foundation: Denver, Colorado, p. 124.

Yang, C., Romanak, K., Hovorka, S., Holt, R. M., Lindner, J., Trevino, R., 2013, Near-surface monitoring of large-volume CO₂ injection at Cranfield: early field test of SECARB Phase III: SPE Journal, v. 18, no. 3, p. 486–494.

Contact

Dr. Changbing Yang changbing.yang@beg.utexas.edu, (U.S.) 512-471-4364 www.gulfcoastcarbon.org

Step 4: Determine groundwater chemical parameters and monitoring tools that are the most robust indicators of CO₂ leakage.



Environmental and technical factors for monitoring CO₂

 Dissolved CO₂ and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) detect CO₂ leakage better than groundwater pH or alkalinity.